AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09) Case~1.12-Cr-00187-RJJ ECF No. 49 filed 08/10/12 PageID.93 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V.	
	Pablo Gerardo Aguilera	Case No. 1:12-cr-00187-RJJ
	Defendant	
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings of	f Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S	
	a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is	d have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) which the prison term is 10 years or more.	, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or	life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	s or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicuous. S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	ted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:	
	a minor victim	ather decides an encode and an encode and
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption the person or the community. I further find that defendant has not	
	Alternative Findin	gs (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has con	nmitted an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more in Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	s prescribed in:
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	
	Alternative Findin	gs (B)
√ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	fety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the Reas	
l evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detenti a preponderance of the evidence that:	on hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dete	
	idant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not be re	•
3. Deter	ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cou	irt's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 10, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge